

Families, Youth and Communities

Families, Youth and Communities

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Families, Youth and Communities

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
801	Individual and Family Resource Management	33%		33%	
802	Human Development and Family Well-Being	17%		17%	
803	Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities	33%		33%	
805	Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services	17%		17%	
	Total	100%		100%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2008	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	58.5	0.0	9.9	0.0
Actual	45.1	0.0	2.0	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 1010620	1890 Extension	Hatch 77122	Evans-Allen
	0		0
1862 Matching 1010620	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
	0	77122	0
1862 All Other	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
0	0	0	0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

Research will be conducted on rural low income families, rural communities, premarital education with longitudinal follow ups, and financial saving behavior. Research will be social science in nature. Census data will also be available to communities.

Extension will conduct informational seminars, interactive learning opportunities, group classes, and provide printed curriculum to youth audiences (4-H, schools, afterschool programs, head start and child care centers) and adult audiences (senior citizens, community organizations, parents, teachers, others) while also working with community based groups (city councils, community development groups, city councils).

2. Brief description of the target audience

- Rural communities in South Dakota.
- Extension educators
- Community planners and developers
- Educators and other professionals who work in social services including welfare programs targeting low-income audiences.
- Tribal colleges in S.D. and families who reside on the reservations
- Youth
- Adults
- Senior citizens
- Targeted business owners
- Low income citizens

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	3500	7000	3000	5000
2008	8000	22000	2900	11000

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year	Target
Plan:	0
2008:	0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan	0	0	
2008	0	0	0

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

Number of research projects completed

Year	Target	Actual
2008	1	0

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	Number of participants who have reduced their debt
2	Number of participants who have increased their personal savings
3	Number of child care professionals who provide more stimulating environments and/or activities for the children they care for.
4	Number of participants reporting improved parent-child communication
5	Number of families who report making changes in family elder care as a result of participating in an Extension program.
6	Number of youth participating in math, engineering or science related activities to further develop workforce preparation skills.
7	Number of youth that were engaged as partners in community civic activities with an adult.
8	Number of communities that were engaged in poverty reduction and/or leadership development activities that lead to the development of a strategic plan for action.
9	Decrease in divorce or domestic violence among South Dakota couples who received premarital education, by percentage of the population.
10	Increase in low-income family self-sufficiency, by percentage of the population.
11	Number of communities reporting an increase in rural community vitality (population stability, economic indicators)

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
-------------	----------------------------	---------------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
----------------	-----------------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)

Economy

Appropriations changes

Public Policy changes

Government Regulations

Competing Public priorities

Brief Explanation

As the economy grew continually worse in 2008, families, youth and communities faced greater economic struggles.

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

Before-After (before and after program)

Case Study

Evaluation Results

Key Items of Evaluation

When South Dakota prepared the initial five year Plan of Work, we were very conservative in our target audience estimates. The numbers reported in this Annual Report reflect actual program accomplishments and contacts in the areas of youth programs.