

Citizenship and Leadership Development

Citizenship and Leadership Development

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Citizenship and Leadership Development

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
806	Youth Development	100%		0%	
	Total	100%		0%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2008	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Actual	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 56000	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
	0	0	0
1862 Matching 84000	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
	0	0	0
1862 All Other	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
0	0	0	0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

1. Develop Leadership Training module
2. Contribution module to include how community service leads to belonging, independence, mastery and generosity
3. Future modules on youth involvement, diversity, civic engagement and teamwork

2. Brief description of the target audience

- 4-H youth
- 4-H youth leaders
- 4-H adult leaders
- County extension staff
- Other community organizations, councils and boards

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	400	1000	200	1000
2008	330	800	350	1180

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year Target

Plan: 0

2008: 0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan	0	0	
2008	0	0	0

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

{No Data Entered}

Not reporting on this Output in this Annual Report

Year	Target	Actual
2008	{No Data Entered}	{No Data Entered}

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	Fifty percent of clubs will have someone complete contribution module.
2	Forty percent of clubs will do one or more community service projects.
3	Twenty-five percent of county 4-H leadership will complete leadership modules.
4	One hundred community service projects will be reported.
5	Fifty percent of county 4-H leadership will complete leadership modules.
6	Five percent of county 4-H leadership completing leadership modules will report more civic activism.
7	Fifty percent of clubs will report contributions to their community.
8	Seventy-five percent of county 4-H leadership will complete leadership modules.
9	Twenty-five percent of county 4-H leadership who complete leadership modules will report more civic activism.

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Competing Public priorities

Populations changes (immigration,new cultural groupings,etc.)

Brief Explanation

Time for volunteers to commit to training and related projects with the youth they worked with. Resources for the projects were occasionally a limiting factor.

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

Retrospective (post program)

During (during program)

Comparisons between different groups of individuals or program participants experiencing different levels of program intensity.

Evaluation Results

4-H club leaders were asked to respond to a survey about service to community projects. Sixty-three percent of the respondents reported their clubs did one to three service projects in the previous year. While 88 percent of the respondents reported service to community was part of their goals and purpose. 79 per cent agreed that contributing to their community was fun and it made their community a better place to live.

Key Items of Evaluation

The responses by 4-H club leaders showed a fairly balanced distribution of youth participating in service to community projects from ages under 10 years of age to over 15 years of age. Ninety-eight percent agreed or strongly agreed with the statement "We intend to continue to serve our community in the future."