

Agricultural, Natural Resources, and Biological Engineering

Agricultural, Natural Resources, and Biological Engineering

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Agricultural, Natural Resources, and Biological Engineering

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
401	Structures, Facilities, and General Purpose Farm Supplies	19%		19%	
402	Engineering Systems and Equipment	27%		27%	
403	Waste Disposal, Recycling, and Reuse	38%		38%	
404	Instrumentation and Control Systems	9%		9%	
405	Drainage and Irrigation Systems and Facilities	7%		7%	
	Total	100%		100%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2008	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	5.9	0.0	15.5	0.0
Actual	6.5	0.0	27.0	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 502944	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
	0	313470	0
1862 Matching 1279045	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
	0	1726877	0
1862 All Other 52788	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
	0	452498	0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

•Conducted energy workshops and educational programs throughout the state that involved key research scientists ranging from chemical engineers to logistics experts to economists. •A team of scientists including experts in animal nutrition, soil fertility, and farm management conducted research and worked with farmers to reduce water pollution, especially phosphorus. •Food safety experts, along with microbiologists and nanotechnology experts, developed sensors that will enhance food safety and risks from bioterrorism. •Livestock facilitiesdesigned and analyzed to determine optimal nutrient management systems from an environmental and cropping systems perspective. •Electro-hydraulic sensors and off-road machine operation systems designed and tested. •Scientists monitored air quality of selected concentrated livestock systems on farms in multiple states to facilitate the determination of science-based EPA regulatory standards.

2. Brief description of the target audience

•Indiana livestock producers, especially those managing confined feeding operations •Crop farmers interested in applying animal wastes to enhance yields and reduce water pollution •Stakeholders in the bio-energy industry including Country Mark Cooperative, Indiana State Department of Agriculture, Indiana Soybean Alliance, Indiana Corn Growers,grain processors such as ADM, Cargill, and Tate & Lyle •Officials with federal (EPA) and state (IDEM) regulatory agencies •Off-road farm and industrial equipment manufacturers will be contacted and offered patent licensing opportunities as sensors for machine operation and maintenance are developed and tested

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	5000	40000	2500	5000
2008	51904	140847	28117	5469

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year	Target
Plan:	3
2008:	0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan	5	20	
2008	0	0	66

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

Number of educational workshops and seminars on nutrient management and air quality

Year	Target	Actual
2008	15	30

Output #2

Output Measure

Number of research-based educational programs on bio-fuel production, distribution, and policy

Year	Target	Actual
2008	25	21

Output #3

Output Measure

Number of websites and publications developed

Year	Target	Actual
2008	20	66

Output #4

Output Measure

Number of patents applied for and licensing arrangements entered into with off-road farm and industrial equipment manufacturers

Year	Target	Actual
2008	5	0

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	Number of producers who increase awareness and knowledge concerning science-based methods to manage animal wastes so as to minimize potential soil and air pollution
2	Number of environmental pollution incidents caused by inappropriate application of animal wastes to soils or emission of animal odors from production facilities
3	Number of farmers who enhance soil fertility and reduce soil pollution through less reliance on commercial fertilizer and increased reliance on properly applied animal waste
4	Number of energy producers, farmers, and consumers who increase their knowledge of the technical and economic implications of increased use of Indiana produced corn and soybeans in bio-fuels
5	Number of technologies developed and disseminated that will increase the efficiency of bio-fuel production
6	Number of bushels of Indiana produced corn and soybeans used in bio-fuels
7	Number of farmers who increase their knowledge of livestock building designs that are energy efficient as well as more animal welfare friendly
8	Number of efficient and animal sensitive farm structures designed that optimize livestock welfare
9	Number of farmers who increase total livestock production and profitability through the adoption of building designs that are energy efficient as well as more animal welfare friendly
10	Number of livestock facilities designed that minimize odor emissions and potential air pollution

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)

Economy

Appropriations changes

Public Policy changes

Government Regulations

Competing Public priorities

Competing Programmatic Challenges

Brief Explanation

{No Data Entered}

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

Before-After (before and after program)

During (during program)

Time series (multiple points before and after program)

Case Study

Comparisons between program participants (individuals, group, organizations) and non-participants

Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention

Other (hits and use of web site)

Evaluation Results

{No Data Entered}

Key Items of Evaluation

{No Data Entered}