

# Range Management

Range Management

## V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

### 1. Name of the Planned Program

Range Management

## V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

### 1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
121	Management of Range Resources	50%		50%	
213	Weeds Affecting Plants	25%		25%	
307	Animal Management Systems	25%		25%	
<b>Total</b>		100%		100%	

## V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

### 1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2008	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
<b>Plan</b>	2.6	0.0	0.6	0.0
<b>Actual</b>	4.5	0.0	2.0	0.0

### 2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 90462	1890 Extension	Hatch 60093	Evans-Allen
	0		0
1862 Matching 90462	1890 Matching	1862 Matching 60093	1890 Matching
	0		0
1862 All Other 163378	1890 All Other	1862 All Other 933822	1890 All Other
	0		0

## V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

### 1. Brief description of the Activity

Members of the Range Topic Team worked with local Coordinated Weed Management Areas, with the Fort Hall Tribal Range Department, with county weed supervisors, and with State and Federal agency personnel to create, promote and deliver classes and workshops, conduct a rangeland inventory, put on a noxious weed education classes, conduct and teach as part of several rangeland tours, create a Tribal youth noxious weed program, deliver weed awareness programs and materials, conduct tech transfer workshops, and edit, write, and publish weed management materials.

Programs about range and grazing management were delivered as part of the Cattlemen's winter schools, Lost River Grazing Academy, and in personal visits and consultations.

**2. Brief description of the target audience**

The target audience includes Ranchers and other livestock producers, local government and resource management agency personnel, tribal leaders and professionals, youth, and local service clubs.

**V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)**

**1. Standard output measures**

**Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods**

	<b>Direct Contacts Adults</b>	<b>Indirect Contacts Adults</b>	<b>Direct Contacts Youth</b>	<b>Indirect Contacts Youth</b>
<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target</b>
<b>Plan</b>	3000	500	100	200
2008	5807	12942	551	72

**2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)**

**Patent Applications Submitted**

**Year Target**

**Plan: 0**

**2008: 0**

**Patents listed**

**3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)**

**Number of Peer Reviewed Publications**

	<b>Extension</b>	<b>Research</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Plan</b>	1	0	
2008	3	2	5

**V(F). State Defined Outputs**

**Output Target**

**Output #1**

**Output Measure**

Range and weed tours.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2008	4	16

**Output #2**

**Output Measure**

Range monitoring and grazing workshops.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2008	2	9

**Output #3**

**Output Measure**

Weed workshops and presentations.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2008	2	49

**Output #4**

**Output Measure**

7th grade science school.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2008	1	0

**Output #5**

**Output Measure**

BEHAVE training.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2008	0	0

**Output #6**

**Output Measure**

Extension publications.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2008	1	7

**V(G). State Defined Outcomes**

O No.	Outcome Name
1	O: Awareness of new, accepted or recommended grazing and weed management practices.I: Number attending educational events.
2	O: Youth learning about rangeland ecology and management.I: Number of youth participating in school programs on range.
3	O: Extension Educators & NRCS personnel understanding and teaching BEHAVE principles.I: Number of Extension Educators & NRCS trainers trained.

**Outcome #1**

**1. Outcome Measures**

*Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report*

**2. Associated Institution Types**

**3a. Outcome Type:**

**3b. Quantitative Outcome**

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

**3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement**

**Issue (Who cares and Why)**

**What has been done**

**Results**

**4. Associated Knowledge Areas**

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

**V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)**

**External factors which affected outcomes**

Economy

**Brief Explanation**

**V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)**

**1. Evaluation Studies Planned**

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

**Evaluation Results**

At the 2008 Roadside and Range Weed Control Seminar, a post evaluation was given.

95% of surveyed audience agreed to strongly agreed that the information shared met audience needs, seminar was organized, seminar date and time was appropriate, speaker topics were relevant, and speaker/audience interaction was encouraged.5% of surveyed audience was neutral to strongly agreed in these questions.Most surveyed said they would put into practice at least one item that was presented.Also most gave good suggestions for topics to be taught at future seminars.

**Key Items of Evaluation**