

Community Capacity Building

Community Capacity Building

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Community Capacity Building

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
604	Marketing and Distribution Practices	10%			
606	International Trade and Development	10%			
608	Community Resource Planning and Development	10%			
609	Economic Theory and Methods	10%			
610	Domestic Policy Analysis	10%			
611	Foreign Policy and Programs	10%			
802	Human Development and Family Well-Being	10%			
803	Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Fam	10%			
805	Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services	10%			
902	Administration of Projects and Programs	10%			
	Total	100%			

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2008	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Actual	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 183248	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
	0	0	0
1862 Matching 40811	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
	0	0	0
1862 All Other 60511	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
	0	0	0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)**1. Brief description of the Activity**

CES provided training on the Appreciative Inquiry method and the basics of focus group facilitation to community members and students. Government agencies and community entities received training and technical support to increase their organizational capacities. CES provided Strategic Planning to non-profit and government agencies. Individuals received statistical methods, data dissemination, data editing, consistency checks and quality control and collection methods training. CES has collaborated with Dept. of Public Health to develop a localized data collection methodology for BRFSS to collect 800 interviews pertaining to the health and health practices of island residents. To improve data quality CES developed a series of data quality checks, developed software and created a training curriculum for telephone interviewers. During the 2008 Survey of COFA migrants, CES developed a compensation methodology for its field representatives, designed an ideal organizational plan and developed a project management structure that contributed to the overall success of the survey. CES created diabetes, tobacco and nutrition tables from BRFSS data for the Department of Public Health. CES also collaborated with the Division of Senior Citizens to collect individual nutrition and physical fitness and program planning data from Guam's senior population. Money management and budgeting workshops were conducted. CES developed disaster preparedness materials and participated in an island-wide campaign on disaster preparedness.

2. Brief description of the target audience

The target audiences in the program included: local government leaders (15 senators, 19 village mayors and 26 government agencies, 2 public corporations), numerous commissions and boards; 4 federal government agencies; non-governmental organizations. Other target audiences also included economic development professionals, small businesses and industries, community groups, senior citizens, youth and the general public.

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)**1. Standard output measures****Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods**

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	50	80	10	20
2008	684	15000	75	400

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)**Patent Applications Submitted**

Year	Target
Plan:	0
2008:	0

Patents listed**3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)****Number of Peer Reviewed Publications**

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan	0	0	
2008	0	0	0

V(F). State Defined Outputs**Output Target****Output #1****Output Measure**

number of extension articles

Year	Target	Actual
2008	1	1

Output #2**Output Measure**

number of workshops

Year	Target	Actual
2008	3	76

Output #3**Output Measure**

number of brochures

Year	Target	Actual
2008	0	869

Output #4**Output Measure**

number of disseminated research results, new technology and information

Year	Target	Actual
2008	0	3

Output #5**Output Measure**

number of surveys

Year	Target	Actual
2008	1	4841

Output #6**Output Measure**

number of focus groups conducted

Year	Target	Actual
2008	2	13

Output #7**Output Measure**

number of popular articles in newsletters, magazines and newspapers

Year	Target	Actual
2008	1	1

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	number of participants gaining increased knowledge and understanding in community development practices
2	number of participants increasing knowledge, understanding and awareness of data set models
3	number of data supported legislation enacted
4	number of entities adopting of data models for decision-making
5	number of entities developing strategic plans
6	number of entities gaining increased knowledge and understanding in community development practices
7	number of entities increasing knowledge, understanding and awareness of data set models
8	number of participants gaining knowledge and awareness of disaster preparedness
9	Number of participants gaining knowledge and awareness of personal finance and money management

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

- Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)
- Economy
- Appropriations changes
- Public Policy changes
- Government Regulations
- Competing Public priorities
- Competing Programmatic Challenges
- Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

Brief Explanation

Outcome #3: CES has taken preliminary steps in 2008 to address this long term outcome by working with agencies such as the Bureau of Statistics and Plans and the Department of Integrated Services for Individuals with Disabilities. CES provided technical assistance to identify funding to convene a data workgroup to introduce legislation to create a data repository and assisted with legislation to merge the rehabilitation department with the dept. of mental health. In addition diabetes, tobacco and nutrition data tables were used to draft legislation to increase tobacco tax.

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

- Retrospective (post program)
- Time series (multiple points before and after program)
- Case Study
- Comparisons between program participants (individuals, group, organizations) and non-participants

Evaluation Results

{No Data Entered}

Key Items of Evaluation

{No Data Entered}