

Integrated Pest Mgmt for the Sustained Reduction of Pest Population in Low Income Urban Households

Integrated Pest Mgmt for the Sustained Reduction of Pest Population in Low Income Urban Households

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Integrated Pest Mgmt for the Sustained Reduction of Pest Population in Low Income Urban Households

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
216	Integrated Pest Management Systems	100%		0%	
	Total	100%		0%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2008	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Actual	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 36499	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
	0	0	0
1862 Matching 36499	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
	0	0	0
1862 All Other 4977	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
	0	0	0

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

Residents were told how they could reduce the pest levels in their homes. The importance of sanitation, exclusion and clutter reduction were included. Proper use of baits and traps was also discussed

Home visits and intervention

140 homes were visited Bait was used to control roaches. Residents were encouraged to keep their apartments clean and clutter free. Follow-up has been difficult. Many of the residents have not been home for their scheduled appointment. As of 4/2008 we were focusing on the senior building where feel we can get more consistent participation.

2. Brief description of the target audience

Low income residents of Washington DC, primarily those in multi family housing.

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	100	250	0	0
2008	140	55000	0	0

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year Target

Plan: 0

2008: 0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan	0	0	
2008	0	0	0

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

Workshops for residents, home visits and intervention.

Year	Target	Actual
2008	350	140

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	Number of residents that have learned that they do not have to live with vermin.

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Appropriations changes

Government Regulations

Brief Explanation

Lack of cooperation from residents and management. The science of IPM is easy until it comes to changing behavior.

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

Before-After (before and after program)

During (during program)

Case Study

Evaluation Results

Key Items of Evaluation