

# Community Development Institute East

Community Development Institute East

## V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

### 1. Name of the Planned Program

Community Development Institute East

## V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

### 1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
602	Business Management, Finance, and Taxation	5%			
603	Market Economics	5%			
605	Natural Resource and Environmental Economics	5%			
607	Consumer Economics	5%			
608	Community Resource Planning and Development	20%			
610	Domestic Policy Analysis	10%			
801	Individual and Family Resource Management	10%			
803	Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Families and Communities	5%			
805	Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services	25%			
901	Program and Project Design, and Statistics	10%			
	<b>Total</b>	100%			

## V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

### 1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2007	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
<b>Plan</b>	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Actual</b>	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0

### 2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 13816	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
	0	0	0
1862 Matching 13816	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
	0	0	0
1862 All Other 5000	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
	0	0	0

**V(D). Planned Program (Activity)**

**1. Brief description of the Activity**

Three week long instructional sessions on community development (Beginner (Year 1), Intermediate (Year 2) and Advanced (Year 13)). The institute was not held in Federal Fiscal Year 2007 because of a location change, leading to lower-than-expected numbers.

**2. Brief description of the target audience**

The target audience for this training is varied. Anyone who works in the area of community development and economic development could benefit from this program.

**V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)**

**1. Standard output measures**

**Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods**

	<b>Direct Contacts Adults</b>	<b>Indirect Contacts Adults</b>	<b>Direct Contacts Youth</b>	<b>Indirect Contacts Youth</b>
<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target</b>
<b>Plan</b>	65	200	0	0
2007	165	300	0	0

**2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)**

**Patent Applications Submitted**

**Year Target**

**Plan: 0**

2007: 0

**Patents listed**

**3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)**

**Number of Peer Reviewed Publications**

	<b>Extension</b>	<b>Research</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Plan</b>			
2007	1	0	0

**V(F). State Defined Outputs**

**Output Target**

**Output #1**

**Output Measure**

Number of students seeking additional training in community development.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2007	30	10

**Output #2**

**Output Measure**

Number of students (graduates) seeking certification.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2007	4	6

**Output #3**

**Output Measure**

Number of people who inquire about CDI East.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Actual</b>
2007	80	60

**V(G). State Defined Outcomes**

<b>O No.</b>	<b>Outcome Name</b>
1	Number of individuals (participants) come up with a new solution for problems in their community.
2	Number of individuals (participants) using or applying new skills and knowledge in their communities.
3	Number of individuals (participants) who have made positive changes in their communities.

**Outcome #1**

**1. Outcome Measures**

*Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report*

**2. Associated Institution Types**

**3a. Outcome Type:**

**3b. Quantitative Outcome**

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

**3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement**

**Issue (Who cares and Why)**

**What has been done**

**Results**

**4. Associated Knowledge Areas**

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

**V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)**

**External factors which affected outcomes**

Economy

Appropriations changes

Government Regulations

**Brief Explanation**

**V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)**

**1. Evaluation Studies Planned**

After Only (post program)

During (during program)

Time series (multiple points before and after program)

Comparison between locales where the program operates and sites without program intervention

### Evaluation Results

Notable results though emerge when looking at the ratings the graduates gave when examining the core community and economic development training. A series of three questions probed the connection between learning, applying, and improving effectiveness:

- \* How much did you learn about community development and economic development?
- \* How much will you apply what you learned to your development-related job or volunteer position?
- \* How much has CDI training improved your effectiveness as a community or economic developer?

The graduates rated their learning slightly higher than the application of the knowledge learned. They also rated the application of the knowledge learned slightly higher than the effectiveness improvement realized from what they had learned. However, the increments by which the mean scores decreased were very small and all those scores were still quite high (ranging between 3.82 and 3.97 on the five-point Likert scale). Moreover, the other measures of central tendency (median and mode) were 4 for each question.

Mean
Median
Mode
How much did you learn?
3.97
4
4
How much will you apply?
3.92
4
4
How much has your effectiveness improved?
3.82
4
4

### Key Items of Evaluation