

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
801	Individual and Family Resource Management		25%		25%
802	Human Development and Family Well-Being		40%		40%
804	Human Environmental Issues Concerning Apparel, Textiles, and Residential and Commercial Structures		20%		20%
805	Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services		15%		15%
	Total		100%		100%

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2007	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	0.0	9.0	0.0	3.0
Actual	0.0	6.5	0.0	3.1

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c	1890 Extension	Hatch	Evans-Allen
0	163560	0	152134
1862 Matching	1890 Matching	1862 Matching	1890 Matching
0	174088	0	115962
1862 All Other	1890 All Other	1862 All Other	1890 All Other
0	0	0	0

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

Two key projects dealing with Parents Preparing for Success (PPS) and Training for Child Care Providers for certification continued during the year. Extension and research personnel worked cooperatively to develop and disseminate educational materials devoted to helping the family set goals and manage limited resources.

Research-based and other information were disseminated to clients through extension personnel in the form of publications, conferences, workshops, home/community visits, demonstrations and other educational resources. Some of the topics covered were as follow: coping with stress, child growth & development, parents as partners, anger management, balancing work and family, budgeting and spending, shopping for back-to-school, caring for school uniforms, decision making, parenting wisely, interpersonal relationships, and

children communication. Others were back-to-school tips for parents, classes for full-cycle and parenting, parents preparing for success (PPS) program, healthy eating, healthy snack, nutrition for the elderly and aging, functional foods, how to get out of debt, decision making, active listening, family management, and family communication.

Several collaborative and partnership efforts with local, state and federal agencies, institutions, groups, private organizations/associations were in seeking and delivering need-based services to citizens. There were also cooperative and collaborative initiatives in other areas including: nutrition classes, training sessions for adults and children, parenting workshops, and demonstrations.

2. Brief description of the target audience

There were large numbers of low income and limited resource families in the State of Louisiana who were resident in the target areas that SUAREC served. Most of these families do not have economic opportunities, they live below the poverty level. They lacked knowledge, information, and/or skills to utilize existing resources to improve their parenting and child care skills, family nurturing, learning, resource management, and quality of life. Children and adolescents who were placed at risk and those that were potentially at risk also benefited. It was also essential to train program staff and volunteers to ensure effective and efficient delivery of information and services.

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	31000	120000	0	0
2007	6332	34058	0	0

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year	Target
Plan:	0
2007:	0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan			
2007	0	0	0

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

1. Number of educational program activities

Year	Target	Actual
2007	250	489

Output #2

Output Measure

2. Number of educational contacts

Year	Target	Actual
2007	151000	40390

Output #3

Output Measure

3. Number of published materials distributed

Year	Target	Actual
2007	30000	10758

Output #4

Output Measure

4. Number of research publications

Year	Target	Actual
2007	3	0

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	1. Percent of clients who gained new knowledge/skills, awareness and/or changed attitudes
2	2. Percentage of families or individuals who adopt recommendations
3	3. Percentage of clients who changed behavior or experienced positive changing family conditions

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Natural Disasters (drought, weather extremes, etc.)

Economy

Appropriations changes

Public Policy changes

Government Regulations

Competing Public priorities

Competing Programmatic Challenges

Populations changes (immigration, new cultural groupings, etc.)

Brief Explanation

As individuals and families returned to their homes after the hurricane evacuation, they faced new problems especially regarding family separation, less than familiar neighborhoods, reduced facilities, etc. Those who could not return home faced some types of concerns too. The Family and Human Development Program worked to assist families cope with their situations.

Both federal and state dollars received via formula funds have remained "flat" for several years. However, as general prices increased, so did the cost of conducting program activities. These two squeezing factors had a great impact on SUAREC's ability to provide adequate resources for program activities. Also, incessant directives regarding the use (directives to reduce) of state funds sometimes at critical program implementation stages affected the timeliness of executing activities.

Population changes and competing programmatic challenges also affected the outcomes of the program activities. Our clientele are predominantly poor, socially and economically disadvantaged, therefore more resources were needed to accomplish the targeted objectives. Louisiana was still recovering (rebuilding) after hurricanes Katrina and Rita, there was continuous shifting of population as those who evacuated returned to their homes.

IV. FAMILY AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

After Only (post program)

Before-After (before and after program)

During (during program)

Case Study

Comparisons between program participants (individuals,group,organizations) and non-participants

Evaluation Results

In addition to the regular surveys conducted during program activities, a general customer satisfaction survey involving all planned program was conducted during FY 2007. The main goal of the survey was to assess the overall satisfaction of clients regarding the services they received from SUAREC. The other objectives of the survey were: (1) to assess how useful SUAREC services were to the clients in carrying out their business, community, and family functions and meeting other needs; (2) to determine the responsiveness of SUAREC personnel to the needs of clients; and (3) to solicit clients' inputs on ways that SUAREC can best serve them by enhancing their ability to meet business, community, and family needs.

To ensure that evaluation was culturally contextual, stakeholders' involvement and inputs were strongly encouraged and utilized. The survey did not include clients in special programs where it is mandatory that participants' identity be kept confidential. Survey forms were sent via postal mail to clients.

Results

74.3% of the respondents indicated that the quality of services they received was very good while 23% said it was good.

97% of the respondents indicated that the services they received were useful.

97% of the respondents indicated that information they received was clear and helpful.

97% of the respondents indicated that services provided by SSUAREC met their needs.

Despite the high level of satisfaction, more services and activities were requested by clients.

Key Items of Evaluation