

Community Resource Planning and Development

Community Resource Planning and Development

V(A). Planned Program (Summary)

1. Name of the Planned Program

Community Resource Planning and Development

V(B). Program Knowledge Area(s)

1. Program Knowledge Areas and Percentage

KA Code	Knowledge Area	%1862 Extension	%1890 Extension	%1862 Research	%1890 Research
608	Community Resource Planning and Development	80%		50%	
802	Human Development and Family Well-Being	0%		15%	
803	Sociological and Technological Change Affecting Individuals, Fam	5%		10%	
805	Community Institutions, Health, and Social Services	10%		15%	
806	Youth Development	5%		10%	
Total		100%		100%	

V(C). Planned Program (Inputs)

1. Actual amount of professional FTE/SYs expended this Program

Year: 2007	Extension		Research	
	1862	1890	1862	1890
Plan	12.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
Actual	60.3	0.0	3.2	0.0

2. Actual dollars expended in this Program (includes Carryover Funds from previous years)

Extension		Research	
Smith-Lever 3b & 3c 2563338	1890 Extension	Hatch 134811	Evans-Allen 0
	0		
1862 Matching 2191730	1890 Matching	1862 Matching 134811	1890 Matching 0
	0		
1862 All Other 16748463	1890 All Other	1862 All Other 455913	1890 All Other 0
	0		

V(D). Planned Program (Activity)

1. Brief description of the Activity

Extension activities include a wide variety of methods focused on community planning and design, organizational development, economic development/sustainable communities, leadership development and education, local governance and public policy, agricultural entrepreneurship, small business/entrepreneurial education and assistance, recreation and tourism development, and diversity. Extension staff working in this area are currently identifying priority activities as well as impact evaluation plans. Activities also include collaboration with Extension services in Kentucky, Missouri, Arkansas and Tennessee to educate citizens living in the New Madrid Seismic Zone about earthquake preparedness, research on water infrastructure governance and water infrastructure source protection, and a study of Latina/o immigrant remittances.

The Certified County Officials program is a joint endeavor between University of Illinois Extension and the Illinois Association of County Board members and Commissioners. Since its inception in 2006, 417 county officials have registered for classes, and 41 have completed Level One Certification, which includes 24 hours of training.

Research focused on rural prosperity funding resulted in the design of a training curriculum and associated materials on the topic of comprehensive strategic economic development planning focused on industry clusters and regional policy. The materials are now being used to train practitioners in courses throughout the nation including Illinois Extension staff and community development practitioners.

2. Brief description of the target audience

Community leaders, agencies and organizations, and local government officials involved in community and economic development. Other target audiences include youth and residents interested in starting small businesses.

V(E). Planned Program (Outputs)

1. Standard output measures

Target for the number of persons (contacts) reached through direct and indirect contact methods

	Direct Contacts Adults	Indirect Contacts Adults	Direct Contacts Youth	Indirect Contacts Youth
Year	Target	Target	Target	Target
Plan	21000	0	500	0
2007	58240	56448	26047	0

2. Number of Patent Applications Submitted (Standard Research Output)

Patent Applications Submitted

Year	Target
Plan:	0
2007:	0

Patents listed

3. Publications (Standard General Output Measure)

Number of Peer Reviewed Publications

	Extension	Research	Total
Plan			
2007	0	7	7

V(F). State Defined Outputs

Output Target

Output #1

Output Measure

Number of research publications.

Year	Target	Actual
2007	16	7

Output #2

Output Measure

Number of research projects completed.

Year	Target	Actual
2007	3	0

V(G). State Defined Outcomes

O No.	Outcome Name
1	Number of individuals demonstrating KASA and related changes.
2	Number of individuals demonstrating behavior changes such as improved practices or improved decision-making
3	Assessing Community Capacity For Water Infrastructure And Resource Management
4	Community Planners Increase Knowledge Of Factors Related To Rural Prosperity

Outcome #1

1. Outcome Measures

Not reporting on this Outcome for this Annual Report

2. Associated Institution Types

3a. Outcome Type:

3b. Quantitative Outcome

Year	Quantitative Target	Actual
------	---------------------	--------

3c. Qualitative Outcome or Impact Statement

Issue (Who cares and Why)

What has been done

Results

4. Associated Knowledge Areas

KA Code	Knowledge Area
---------	----------------

V(H). Planned Program (External Factors)

External factors which affected outcomes

Economy

Competing Programmatic Challenges

Brief Explanation

V(I). Planned Program (Evaluation Studies and Data Collection)

1. Evaluation Studies Planned

After Only (post program)

Retrospective (post program)

During (during program)

Time series (multiple points before and after program)

Case Study

Evaluation Results

As a result of evaluations a survey of Illinois Water Operators has been added. Illinois operators were hungry to tell their story and the results are likely to leverage further funding for research in this area.

Key Items of Evaluation

Meetings were held with representatives from commodity groups, non-governmental organizations, government officials and academic institutions to guide future research in water infrastructure management.